

With the publication of his book and introducing it as he did Aveline is doing his well-known and provocative bit again but has gone past what is generally accepted as being decent, morally or socially appropriate, so I consider his most recent comments regarding John Algeo very indecent.

Let me clarify:

From Aveline's own website and supplying the reader with a direct link I reproduce in Portuguese:

“Aparentemente, os diretores da *Theosophy Company* não podiam aceitar a publicação de um livro que, ao defender HPB, criticaria o Dr. John Algeo, um notável divulgador de mentiras e falsidades contra a fundadora do movimento. Na época, Algeo tinha forte influência política internacional.”

Follow this link:

http://www.filosofiaesoterica.com/ler.php?id=1129#.Um_UmUCgwfZ

Translated into English:

“Apparently the directors of *Theosophy Company* could not accept the publication of a book defending HPB that would attack Dr. John Algeo, an outstanding promoter of lies and falsehoods against the founder of the movement. At the time, Algeo had strong influence in international politics.”

The line regarding *Theosophy Company* in Los Angeles although interesting is not relevant; it is the phrase in which John Algeo, a man who dedicated his entire life to Theosophy is labeled “*an outstanding promoter of lies and falsehoods against the founder of the movement.*”

Shame on you Carlos ...

Next to this, Aveline in this one and other epistles bearing his name refers erroneously to John Algeo's so called political stance as in regards to the controversial 2008 election for International President of the Adyar TS. Here he makes a terrible mess, in Portuguese the language spoken in Brazil the word for “mess” is *bagunça*, of facts and recorded historical material and goes solely by what some, who had their own agenda at the time, told him. Aveline never had any direct access to what actually took place in 2008, nor was he involved in any of the proceedings, he was not in any way a player in the drama and bases his vague and bias conclusions on hearsay and gossip.