

to other Theosophists who are not sympathetic to their cause that those others are nothing but a bunch of naïve dummies. Their contradictive, pseudo-intellectual “bla bla” is extremely boring, often referring to historical events but then hopelessly misinterpreting those, and the persons whom they condemn are always the same. Presenting themselves as gurus in need of followers, their remarks are bigheaded, repetitious, uninspiring, and unconstructive, not in any way related to what Theosophy is supposed to represent.



*bla bla*

Friends sometimes send me links to threads on the Net containing such squabbles, but I cannot read those dreary epistles any longer. I do not underestimate the importance of discussions in cyberspace, but it is evident that some hardliners with their predictable one-liners who post there have simply vowed never to give up their crusade against others who they consider to be misled and dumb. Well so be it, the Law always works, so we will see.

I fail to understand why some Theosophists are constantly dwelling on the personal egos of prominent Theosophists of the past, especially when these same folks claim to be trying to suppress the personal ego. We cannot know the full truth about Besant, Judge, Olcott, or even H. P. B. herself. Charges against any or all of them amount to gossip, one of the “sins” that H.